

# Oscillation of a Nonlinear Neutral Difference Equation with Positive and Negative Coefficients

Xilan Liu\*, Dejie Deng and Huijuan Wang

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Qinghai Nationalities University, Xining, China  
Email: doclanliu@163.com

**Abstract** This paper is concerned with the oscillatory behavior of a class of neutral nonlinear difference equation with positive and negative coefficients. The oscillation criteria are given, which extended the results of known ones.

**Keywords:** Neutral, nonlinear difference equation, positive and negative coefficient

## 1 Introduction

Oscillatory behavior is an important topic for difference equations, which has attracted many researchers for many years, see for example [1,2,3,4,5,6] and references therein. In [5], the author discussed the oscillation property for a neutral linear difference equation with positive and negative coefficients

$$\Delta[x(n) - r(n)x(n - \xi)] + p(n)x(n - \tau) - q(n)x(n - \sigma) = 0, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1)$$

where  $\xi, \tau, \sigma$  are positive integers and that  $\tau \geq \sigma$ ,  $r(n)$  is a real sequence,  $p(n)$  and  $q(n)$  are nonnegative sequences. Note that (1) is linear. Motivated by [5], in this paper, we consider the oscillation of a class of nonlinear neutral difference equation with positive and negative coefficients

$$\Delta[x(n) - R(n)x(n - r)] + P(n)f(x(n - k)) - Q(n)f(x(n - l)) = 0, n \geq n_0 \quad (2)$$

where  $R(n), P(n)$  and  $Q(n)$  are nonnegative sequences,  $r, k, l, n_0$  are positive integers with  $k \geq l + 1$ , and  $f \in C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ . To our knowledge, no any oscillation results for nonlinear equation (1) with positive and negative coefficients has been presented.

## 2 Main Results

We make the following assumptions:

- (H1)  $P(n), Q(n)$  and  $R(n)$  are nonnegative sequences,  $P(n)$  and  $R(n) + Q(n)$  have positive subsequences;
- (H2)  $H(n) := P(n + k - l) - Q(n)$  is nonnegative and has a positive subsequence;
- (H3) There exists a constant  $A > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq A|x|$  ( $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ),  $xf(x) > 0$  for  $x \neq 0, x \in \mathbf{R}$ ;

Let

$$m_0 := \begin{cases} k, & R \equiv 0, \\ \max\{r, k\}, & \text{others;} \end{cases} \quad m_1 := \begin{cases} l, & R \equiv 0, \\ r, & Q \equiv 0, \\ \min\{r, l\}, & \text{others;} \end{cases}$$

and

$$z_x(n) := x(n) - R(n)x(n - r) - \sum_{i=n-k+l}^{n-1} P(i + k - l)f(x(i - l)), n \geq m_0 + n_0. \quad (3)$$

Consider the following inequality

$$\Delta[x(n) - R(n)x(n - r)] + P(n)f(x(n - k)) - Q(n)f(x(n - l)) \leq 0, n \geq n_0. \quad (4)$$

We have

**Lemma 1** Assume that  $\{x(n)\}$  is an eventual positive solution of (4). If

$$R(n) + A \sum_{i=n-k+l}^{n-1} Q(i) \leq 1 \tag{5}$$

holds for  $n$  large enough, then  $\Delta z_x(n) \leq 0$ ,  $z_x(n) > 0$  for  $n$  large enough.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x(n)\}$  be a solution of the difference inequality (4). Then there exists  $n_1 \geq n_0$  such that  $x(n - m_0) > 0$  for  $n \geq n_1$ . By(4) and(3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta z_x(n) &= \Delta[x(n) - R(n)x(n - r) - \sum_{i=n-k+l}^{n-1} P(i + k - l)f(x(i - l))] \\ &= \Delta[x(n) - R(n)x(n - r)] - P(n + k - l)f(x(n - l)) + P(n)f(x(n - k)) \\ &\leq -P(n + k - l)f(x(n - l)) + Q(n)f(x(n - l)) \\ &\leq -H(n)f(x(n - l)) \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

So either  $z_x(n)$  is eventually negative or  $z_x(n)$  is eventually positive.

We first assume  $z_x(n)$  is eventually negative. Then there exists  $n_2 \geq n_1$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $z_x(n_2) \leq -\alpha < 0$  for  $n \geq n_2$ . Summing of two sides of (6) from  $i = n_2$  to  $n - 1$  yields

$$z_x(n) \leq z_x(n_2) - \sum_{i=n_2}^{n-1} H(i)f(x(i - l)) \leq -\alpha - \sum_{i=n_2}^{n-1} H(i)f(x(i - l)).$$

Thus for  $n > n_3 \geq n_2 + m_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) &\leq -\alpha + R(n)x(n - r) + \sum_{i=n-k+l}^{n-1} P(i + k - l)f(x(i - l)) - \sum_{i=n_2}^{n-1} H(i)f(x(i - l)) \\ &\leq -\alpha + R(n)x(n - r) + \sum_{i=n-k+l}^{n-1} Q(i)f(x(i - l)). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Accordingly, we consider the following two cases.

Case 1.  $\{x(n)\}$  is unbounded. Then  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) = \infty$ . Hence, there exists a subsequence  $\{s_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  such that  $x(s_j) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $s_j \rightarrow \infty$ . Take  $s_j \geq n_3 + m_0$ . Let  $x(t_j) = \max\{x(n) : n_2 \leq n \leq s_j\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

By (5) and (7), we have

$$x(t_j) \leq -\alpha + R(s_j)x(t_j - r) + \sum_{i=t_j-k+l}^{t_j-1} Q(i)f(x(i - l)) \leq -\alpha + x(t_j),$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2.  $\{x(n)\}$  is bounded. Then  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) = a < \infty$ , and there exists a subsequence  $\{\bar{s}_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  such that  $\bar{s}_j \rightarrow \infty, x_{\bar{s}_j} \rightarrow a$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $\xi_j$  be the ones such that  $x(\xi_j) = \max\{x_s : \bar{s}_j - m_0 \leq s \leq \bar{s}_j - m_1\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Thus  $\xi_j \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} x(\xi_j) \leq a$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .

By (5) and (7), we have

$$x(\bar{s}_j) \leq -\alpha + R(\bar{s}_j)x(\bar{s}_j - r) + \sum_{i=\bar{s}_j-k+l}^{\bar{s}_j-1} Q(i)f(x(i - l)) \leq -\alpha + x(\xi_j),$$

and further,

$$a \leq -\alpha + \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} x(\xi_j) \leq -\alpha + a,$$

which is also a contradiction.

The above discussions finish the proof. □

**Lemma 2.** Assume that  $\{x(n)\}$  is an eventually positive solution of the difference inequality (4). If

$$R(n) + A \sum_{i=n-k+l}^{n-1} P(i+k-l) \geq 1 \quad (8)$$

holds for  $n$  big enough, then either

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) > 0 \quad (9)$$

or  $z_x(n) < 0$  holds for  $n$  big enough.

*Proof.* Since  $\{x(n)\}$  an eventually positive solution of the difference inequality (4), then there exists  $n_1 \geq n_0$  such that  $x(n - m_0) > 0$  for  $n \geq n_1$ . It is easy to know (6) holds. So there exists  $n_2 \geq n_1$  such that  $z_x(n) < 0$  or  $z_x(n) > 0$  is true for  $n \geq n_2$ .

Without loss the generality, we assume  $z_x(n) > 0$ . Let  $M := \frac{1}{2} \min\{x(i) : n_2 - m_0 \leq i \leq n_2\}$  Then  $M \geq \frac{1}{2} z_x(n_2) > 0$ , and

$$x(n) > \frac{1}{A} f(x(n)) > M. \quad (10)$$

for  $n \geq n_2$ . Indeed, if there exists  $n_3 > n_2$  such that  $x(n) > \frac{1}{A} f(x(n))$  with  $x(n) \leq M$  for  $n \in [n_2, n_3)$ . By (3) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M &\geq x(n_3) = z_x(n_3) + R(n_3)x(n_3 - r) + \sum_{i=n_3-k+l}^{n_3-1} P(i+k-l)f(x(i-l)) \\ &> R(n_3)x(n_3 - r) + \sum_{i=n_3-k+l}^{n_3-1} P(i+k-l)f(x(i-l)) \\ &> [R(n_3) + A \sum_{i=n_3-k+l}^{n_3-1} P(i+k-l)]M \geq M, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. So  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) > 0$ . □

By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we can obtain the following

**Lemma 3.** Assume that  $\{x(n)\}$  is an eventually positive solution of the difference inequality (4). If (5) and (8) hold for  $n$  big enough, then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(n) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta z_x(n) \leq 0, \quad z_x(n) > 0. \quad (11)$$

Similar to [5], we can easily obtain

**Lemma 4.** Assume conditions  $H(1) - H(3)$  are satisfied for  $n$  big enough, and (5) holds. Then any solution of (1) is oscillatory if and only if the difference inequity (4) has no eventually positive solution.

**Theorem 1.** Assume (5) and (8) hold eventually and

$$\sum_i^{\infty} H(i) = \infty. \quad (12)$$

Then all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.

*Proof.* We shall prove by the contradiction. Let  $\{x(n)\}$  is an eventually positive solution of the difference inequality (4). Assume  $x(n - m_0) > 0$  for  $n_1 \geq n_0, n \geq n_1$ . By (12), summing two sides of (6) from  $i = n_1$  to  $\infty$  leads to

$$z_x(n_1) \geq \sum_{i=n_1}^{\infty} H(i)f(x(i-l)) = \infty,$$

which is contradictory to Lemma 3. The proof is complete. □

**Example 1.** Consider the following difference equation

$$\Delta[x(n) - r(n)x(n-r)] + p(n)\sin(x(n-k)) - q(n)\sin(x(n-l)) = 0, n \geq n_0, \quad (13)$$

where  $p(n) = 2n$ ,  $q(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ ,  $r(n) = \frac{(-1)^n + 1}{n}$  and  $H(n) = \frac{2(n+1)^2 - 3}{n}$ . Taking  $k - l = 2$ , then all conditions of Theorem 1 hold. So all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.

### 3 Conclusion

Based on the oscillatory property of the difference inequalities, this paper considered the oscillation behavior of a nonlinear neutral difference equation with positive and negative coefficients. Under some conditions, the difference equation discussed is oscillatory. Necessary oscillatory conditions for (1) will be considered later.

### Acknowledgement

This is supported by the NNSF of China (11361047) and the NSF of Qinghai Province (2017-ZJ-908).

### References

1. S. R. Grace and S. Dontha, "Oscillation of higher order neutral difference equations of mixed type," *Dynam. Systems Appl.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 521–532, 2002.
2. M. P. Chen and B. G. Zhang, "Oscillation and comparison theorems of difference equations with positive and negative coefficients." *Bull. inst. math. acad. sinica*, no. 4, pp. 295–306, 1994.
3. X. L. Liu, "Oscillatory behavior of third-order difference equations with asynchronous nonlinearities."
4. G. Ladas, "Oscillation of difference equations with positive and negative coefficients," *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, vol. 20, pp. 1051–1061, 1990.
5. S. C. W.T. Li, "On a neutral difference equation with positive and negative coefficients," *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, vol. 22, pp. 407–418, 1998.
6. X.L.Liu and Y.Y.Dong, "Oscillatory behavior of third-order difference equations with asynchronous nonlinearities," *International J. Difference Eqs.*, vol. 9, pp. 223–231, 2014.